13 July 1999

Re: NEPA Technical Inquiry 0543 - Proposed Antenna NEPA Compliance Obligations

Dear NEPA Call-In User:

This letter is in response to your June 17, 1999 request for guidance on GSA's Natio Policy Act (NEPA) compliance responsibilities concerning a proposed radio tower inst region. Specifically, you wanted to know if GSA has a NEPA or National Historic Pre compliance obligation surrounding this action.

## SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Any GSA proposed action that may have an impact on the quality of the human environm the requirements of NEPA. To comply with NEPA, it appears that GSA should prepare a Checklist to confirm that no extraordinary circumstances exist that would require GS Environmental Assessment (EA) or Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the propose requires that multiple agencies involved in a single Federal proposed action must ei as cooperating agencies, or designate a lead agency in the NEPA analysis process. A subject to compliance with Section 106 of the NHPA. An undertaking is anything a Fe assists, or permits that has the potential to affect historic properties, whether or have been identified. GSA can delegate tasks associated with NHPA Section 106 to a it is GSA's responsibility to comply with the NHPA. Our detailed findings are prese

## DETAILED FINDINGS

NEPA Call-In assumes that the tower will be installed on land currently owned by GSA local government. As such, GSA is responsible for ensuring that the proposed action to a local government for construction of the tower) is planned in accordance with t NEPA Call-In reviewed the Public Buildings Service (PBS) NEPA Desk Guide, Final Guid determine when GSA must apply the NEPA process. The PBS NEPA Desk Guide serves as g compliance activities. Section 1.2, "Application of this Desk Guide" states:

"The policies, procedures, and practices described here apply to GSA actions, includ developing, managing and disposing of real property, that may have an impact on the environment. They apply to all GSA business lines, GSA staff, contractors, and othe GSA oversight."

Therefore, it appears that the proposed action is subject to the NEPA environmental To determine the proper level of NEPA analysis for this proposed action, we reviewed 5, "Categorical Exclusions." Automatic CATEX 5(v) states "Disposal of real property wherein Congress has specifically exempted the action from the requirements of NEPA. not state these conditions, NEPA Call-In assumes Congress has not exempted the propo requirements of NEPA and the proposed action does not qualify as an Automatic CATEXs reviewed Desk Guide section 5.4, "Checklist CATEXs." The purpose of the checklist i extraordinary circumstances exist that would require preparation of an EA or EIS. T appears to fit Checklist CATEX 5(d), "Transfers of real property to Federal, State, and Indian Tribes." NEPA Desk Guide Section 5.9, "Completing the Environmental Chec guidance on completing the checklist.

In addition, you stated the proposed antenna installation is subject to Federal Comm license. Since multiple agencies (GSA and FCC) would be involved in licensing and s NEPA Call-In further reviewed the NEPA Desk Guide for additional guidance on which a implementing the NEPA process. Section 2.6.1 of the NEPA Desk Guide, "GSA as Lead A Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations for implementing NEPA contained i Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 1501.5. This citation states that to determine which any specific situation, use the following guidelines:

"Potential lead agencies shall determine by letter of memorandum which agency shall which shall be cooperating agencies. The agencies shall resolve the lead agency que delay. If there is disagreement among the agencies, the following factors (which ar descending importance) shall determine lead agency designation:

- 1. Magnitude of the agency's involvement;
- 2. Project approval/disapproval authority;
- 3. Expertise concerning the action's environmental effects;
- 4. Duration of agency's involvement; and
- 5. Sequence of agency's involvement."

If GSA is determined not to be lead agency for NEPA compliance activities concerning then GSA may be responsible as a cooperating agency (see NEPA Desk Guide, Section 2. Cooperating Agency").

Finally, the e-mail stated that the County has documented its opinion that no histor would be adversely affected by the tower, although four historic properties are loca miles of the facility. NEPA Call-In reviewed its database of Technical Inquiries (T if the County's determination can be used to satisfy GSA's obligations under the NHP previously addressed this issue in TI-0023 (enclosed), which states that a Federal a tasks associated with the Section 106 process to non-Federal entities but the Federa responsible for Section 106 compliance. A Federal agency may delegate its Section 1 responsibilities to a non-Federal agency after it has developed a Programmatic Agree in consultation with the ACHP indicating this transfer of responsibility. However, G does not have any PAs delegating Section 106 Compliance to non-Federal entities. NE the new NHPA Section 106 regulations effective June 17, 1999, and found that this re change under the new regulations.

The materials in this TI have been prepared for use by GSA employees and contractors at this site only to permit the general public to learn more about NEPA. The informa constitute legal advice or substitute for obtaining legal advice from an attorney li and may or may not reflect the most current legal developments. Readers should also response is based upon laws, regulations, and policies in place at the time it was p response will not be updated to reflect changes to those laws, regulations and policies.

Sincerely,

(Original Signed)

NEPA Call-In Researcher